

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates. The cable ownership cap is a crucial element of our democratic media, and it should not be weakened.

I am particularly concerned that there is so little public input into this process. It requires more than having an open meeting that the public is allowed to go to... your ordinary every day citizen isn't made aware of the facts in this matter by the very media companies that stand to benefit most.

It's not like Time-Warner, MSNBC, Fox, ABC, CBS or any other major news outlet is doing an in depth story on this one.

Please send me a detailed response. I do not want a form letter. I want to know why, specifically, you are eliminating the cable ownership cap and the broadcast/newspaper cross-ownership rule and why that's a benefit to people who want to make sure they are getting unbiased news and content that is as free as possible from the undue influence of commercial interests.